



Handwritten initials/signature in the top right corner.

**IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANTS: Vir V. Phoha, S.S. Iyengar & R. Kannan

SERIAL NO.: 10/073,453

FILING DATE: February 11, 2002

TITLE: Method for Allocation of Web Pages Using Neural Networks

DOCKET NO.: 16808/95137-00


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Date of Deposit: November 22, 2006

I hereby certify that the following attached paper or fee:

- Response to Notice Requiring Excess Claims Fees (1 pg.);
- Check for \$100.00;
- Copy of Notice Requiring Excess Claims Fees; and
- Stamped, return postcard;

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated above and is addressed to **Mail Stop AMENDMENTS**, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Kristine R. Crake



**IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANTS: Vir V. Phoha, S.S. Iyengar & R. Kannan

SERIAL NO.: 10/073,453

FILING DATE: February 11, 2002

TITLE: Method for Allocation of Web Pages Using Neural Networks

DOCKET NO.: 16808/95137-00

MAIL STOP AMENDMENTS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450


Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS
OF NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION**

In the Notice Requiring Excess Claims Fees dated November 9, 2006, it was indicated that the excess claims filed on September 29, 2006 was not accompanied by the appropriate payment of excess claims fees. In response, enclosed is a check in the amount of \$100.00 to cover the extra independent claim in the response filed on September 29, 2006. Also enclosed is a copy of the Notice Requiring Excess claims Fees.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: Nov. 22, 2006



Bernard F. Meroney, Reg. No.: 37,188
Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent,
Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P.
5th Floor, Four United Plaza
8555 United Plaza Boulevard
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809
Telephone: (225) 248-2106

11/28/2006 MBELETE1 00000023 10073453

01 FC:2201

100.00 OP

{B0415644.1}



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10/073,453

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NOV 27 2006

NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES

The excess claim(s) filed on 9/29/06 is not accompanied by the appropriate payment of excess claims fees set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f). Excess claims fees are required for each claim in independent form in excess of three (§ 1.16(h)), each claim (whether dependent or independent) in excess of twenty (note that § 1.75(c) indicates how multiple dependent claims are considered for fee calculation purposes) (§ 1.16(i)), and each application that contains a multiple dependent claim (§ 1.16(j)).

Since the application is not under a final rejection, applicant is given a time period of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, to submit either: (1) the fee payment of \$ _____, or (2) an amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 that cancels the excess claim(s), in order to avoid ABANDONMENT. Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136, unless the excess claim(s) was presented in a preliminary amendment.

- ☐ 1. The funds in Deposit Account No. _____ are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.
- ☐ 2. The Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account _____ (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.
- ☐ 3. The amendment that includes the excess claim(s) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (PTO/SB/06). Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set forth in this notice.
- ☐ 4. The fee submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ _____ is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f)).
- ☐ 5. Other.

Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):

Claims in excess of 4 independent are due (2).

THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE, GENERALLY ON OCTOBER 1 OF EACH YEAR (37 CFR 1.16, 1.21 & 1.492). THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS DETERMINED AS OF THE DATE A COMPLETE REPLY WITH THE APPROPRIATE FEE(S) IS RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE (37 CFR 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE AMOUNT DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT APPLICANT CHECK THE CURRENT FEE SCHEDULE WHICH IS AVAILABLE ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/qs/ope/fees.htm>

Service Charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processing each payment refused (including a check returned "unpaid") or charged back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). There is a \$25.00 service charge for each month when the balance of a deposit account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 CFR 1.21(b)(2)).

Mayme Wagsy (571) 272-1057
Technical Support Staff (TSS)

Note to TSS: Please do NOT use this notice if the application is under a final rejection.